# BEFORE THE MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

) ) )
) Case No. D1-1998-083221
) )
) )

#### **DECISION**

The attached Stipulated Surrender of License and Order is hereby adopted as the Decision and Order of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs, State of California.

This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on September 30, 2011

IT IS SO ORDERED September 23, 2011

MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA

Linda K. Whitney

Executive Director

1 2 3 4 5	Attorney General of California  GLORIA L. CASTRO Supervising Deputy Attorney General  DOUG KNOLL Deputy Attorney General  State Bar No. 077040 300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013					
6	6   Facsimile: (213) 897-9395	Telephone: (213) 897-6404 Facsimile: (213) 897-9395				
7	Attorneys for Complainant 7					
8	BEFORE THE  MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA  DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS					
9	ii a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a					
10		:1				
11	If the tylatter of the retition regulation					
12	A.K. AVIIK-SAILED, W.D.	NDER OF				
13	LUS Aligeres, Cristone					
14						
15	Respondent.					
16						
17		parties in this				
18	proceeding that the following matters are true:					
19						
20						
21	1	California. She brought this action solely in her official capacity and is represented in this matter				
22	by Kamala D. Harris, Attorney General of the State of California, by Doug	by Kamala D. Harris, Attorney General of the State of California, by Doug Knoll, Deputy				
23						
24						
25	· ·	attorney John D. Harwell. On or about July 9, 1985, the Medical Board of California issued				
26		Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 41879 to Respondent. The Physician's and Surgeon's				
27	Certificate was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in Petition to					
28	28 Revoke Probation No. D1-1998-083221 and bears an expiration date of M	arch 31, 2011.				

-15 

<u>JURISDICTION</u>

3. The original Petition to Revoke Probation No. D1-1998-083221 was filed before the Medical Board of California (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, on April 20, 2011. The First Amended Petition to Revoke Probation was filed before the Board on June 10, 2011, and is currently pending against Respondent. The original Petition, the First Amended Petition ("Petition"), and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondent. Respondent timely filed his Notice of Defense contesting the Petition. A copy of the Petition is attached as Exhibit A and incorporated by reference.

#### ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

- 4. Respondent has carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the charges and allegations in the Petition. Respondent also has carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the effects of this Stipulated Surrender of License and Order.
- 5. Respondent is fully aware of his legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the Petition; the right to be represented by counsel, at his own expense; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against him; the right to present evidence and to testify on his own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.
- 6. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

#### **CULPABILITY**

7. Respondent admits the truth of the charges and allegations in the Fourth, Seventh, tenth, Fourteenth, and Nineteenth Causes to Revoke Probation, in the Petition, to the extent that Respondent admits that he failed to adequately supervise the two clinics where he was employed, as identified in the Petition, with the result that said clinics failed to maintain adequate records.

- 8. Respondent admits the truth of the charges in the Twenty-Fourth Cause to Revoke Probation, in the Petition.
- 9. As to the remaining Causes to Revoke Probation, in the Petition, Respondent understands that the charges and allegations therein, if proven at a hearing, would constitute cause for revoking Respondent's current probation and imposing further discipline upon his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate.
- 10. Respondent agrees that cause exists for discipline and hereby surrenders his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 41879 for the Board's formal acceptance.
- 11. Respondent understands that by signing this stipulation he enables the Board to issue an order accepting the surrender of his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate without further process.

#### **CONTINGENCY**

- 12. This stipulation shall be subject to approval by the Medical Board of California. Respondent understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Medical Board of California may communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation and surrender, without notice to or participation by Respondent or his counsel. By signing the stipulation, Respondent understands and agrees that he may not withdraw his agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Board considers and acts upon it. If the Board fails to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Surrender and Disciplinary Order shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Board shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.
- 13. The parties understand and agree that facsimile copies of this Stipulated Surrender of License and Order, including facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.
- 14. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the Board may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following Order:

#### **ORDER**

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 41879, issued to Respondent Abasali K. Amir-Jahed, M.D., is surrendered and accepted by the Medical Board of California.

- 15. The surrender of Respondent's Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate and the acceptance of the surrendered license by the Board shall constitute the imposition of discipline against Respondent. This stipulation constitutes a record of the discipline and shall become a part of Respondent's license history with the Board.
- 16. Respondent shall lose all rights and privileges as a Physician & Surgeon in California as of the effective date of the Board's Decision and Order.
- 17. Respondent shall cause to be delivered to the Board his pocket license and, if one was issued, his wall certificate on or before the effective date of the Decision and Order.
- 18. If Respondent ever files, with the Board, an application for licensure or a petition for reinstatement, the Board shall treat it as a petition for reinstatement. In that event, Respondent must comply with all the laws, regulations and procedures for reinstatement of a revoked license in effect at the time the petition is filed, and all of the charges and allegations contained in Petition No. D1-1998-083221 shall be deemed to be true, correct and admitted by Respondent when the Board determines whether to grant or deny the petition.
- 19. If Respondent should ever apply or reapply for a new license or certification, or petition for reinstatement of a license, with any other health care licensing agency in the State of California, all of the charges and allegations contained in Petition No. D1-1998-083221 shall be deemed to be true, correct, and admitted by Respondent for the purpose of any Statement of Issues or any other proceeding seeking to deny or restrict licensure.

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

#### **ACCEPTANCE**

I have carefully read the above Stipulated Surrender of License and Order and have fully discussed it with my attorney, John D. Harwell. I understand the stipulation and the effect it will have on my Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate. I enter into this Stipulated Surrender of License and Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the Medical Board of California.

\_Supi. 10, 20

ABASALI K. AMIR-JAHED, M.D. Respondent

I have read and fully discussed with Respondent Abasali K. Amir-Jahed, M.D. the terms and conditions and other matters contained in this Stipulated Surrender of License and Order. I approve its form and content. S. Harwell

DATED:

Attorney for Respondent

### **ENDORSEMENT**

The foregoing Stipulated Surrender of License and Order is hereby respectfully submitted for consideration by the Medical Board of California of the Department of Consumer Affairs.

Dated: August 29, 2011

Respectfully submitted,

KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California GLORIA L. CASTRO

Supervising Deputy Attorney General

Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Complainant

LA2011500248 50960491.doc

## Exhibit A

Petition No. D1-1998-083221

1	KAMALA D. HARRIS					
2	Attorney General of California GLORIA L. CASTRO					
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General DOUG KNOLL Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 077040 300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013					
4						
5						
6	Telephone: (213) 897-6404 Facsimile: (213) 897-9395					
7	Attorneys for Petitioner					
8	BEFORE THE  MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA  DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS					
9		CALIFORNIA				
10		Casa No. D1 1008 082221				
11	In the Matter of the Petition Against,	Case No. D1-1998-083221				
12	ABASALI KOOROSH AMIR-JAHED, M.D. 1840 S. Beverly Glen Boulevard, # 507	FIRST AMENDED PETITION TO REVOKE PROBATION				
13						
14	Physician and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 41879					
15	Respondent					
16		<b>.</b>				
17	Petitioner alleges:					
18	PAR	TIES				
19	1. Linda K. Whitney (Petitioner) brings	this Petition to Revoke Probation solely in her				
20	official capacity as the Executive Director of the Medical Board of California, Department of					
21	Consumer Affairs.					
22	2. On or about July 9, 1985, the Medical Board of California ("Board") issued					
23	Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate Number A 41879 to Abasali K. Amir-Jahed, M.D.					
24	(Respondent). The Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate was in effect at all times relevant to the					
25	charges brought herein and will expire on March 31, 2013, unless renewed.					
26	3. In a disciplinary action entitled "In the Matter of Accusation Against Abasali K.					
27	Amir-Jahed, M.D.," Case No. 18-1998-83221, the Board, pursuant to a Stipulated Settlement an					
28	Disciplinary Order executed on April 2, 2002, issued a Decision and Order ("Decision"),					
		1				

effective September 9, 2002, in which Respondent's Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate was revoked. However, the revocation was stayed and Respondent's Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate was placed on probation for a period of ten (10) years with eighteen (18) conditions which Respondent must, at all times during probation, be in full compliance with in order to successfully complete probation. A copy of the Decision is attached as Exhibit A and is incorporated by reference. Probation was set to end on September 9, 2012.

#### **JURISDICTION**

- 4. This Petition to Revoke Probation is brought before the Board under the authority of the above-referenced Decision and the following laws. All "section" references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
- 5. Condition No. 7 of the Decision ("Monitoring") requires that Respondent's practice, during the course of probation, be monitored by a Board-approved "physician in respondent's field of practice, who shall provide periodic reports" to the Board.
- 6. Condition No. 9 of the Decision ("Obey All Laws") states, *inter alia*:

  "Respondent shall obey all federal, state and local laws (and) remain in full compliance with all rules governing the practice of medicine in California."
- 7. Condition No. 15 of the Decision ("Violation of Probation") states, *inter alia*:

  "If respondent violates probation in any respect, the (Board), after giving respondent notice and an opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation and carry out the disciplinary order that was stayed."
  - 8. Condition No. 16 of the Decision ("Cost Recovery") states:

"The respondent is hereby ordered to reimburse the (Board) the amount of \$56,711.10, of which \$1,752.90 is directly due and payable to the Attorney General's Office for its copying costs, within ninety (90) days of the effective date of this decision, for its investigative and prosecution costs. The remaining costs may be paid in equal annual installments over the course of respondent's probation. Failure to reimburse the (Board's) cost of investigation and prosecution shall constitute a violation of the probation order, unless the (Board) agrees in writing to payment by an installment plan because of financial hardship. The filing of bankruptcy by the

11. Section 2238 of the Code states:

"A violation of any federal statute or federal regulation or any of the statutes or regulations of this state regulating dangerous drugs or controlled substances constitutes unprofessional conduct."

12. Section 2266 of the Code states:

"The failure of a physician and surgeon to maintain adequate and accurate records relating to the provision of services to their patients constitutes unprofessional conduct."

- 13. Health and Safety Code section 11000, et. seq., is known as the "California Uniform Controlled Substances Act." Section 11007 of that Act defines "controlled substance' as "a drug, substance, or immediate precursor which is listed in any schedule in Section 11054 [Schedule I], 11055 [Schedule II], 11056 [Schedule III], 11057 [Schedule IV], or 11058 [Schedule V]."
- 14. Health and Safety Code section 11026 defines a "practitioner" as a "person licensed, registered or otherwise permitted, to distribute, dispense, conduct research with respect to, or administer a controlled substance in the course of professional practice or research in this state."
- 15. Health and Safety Code section 11152 provides that "[n]o person shall write, issue, fill, compound, or dispense a prescription that does not conform to this division."
  - 16. Health and Safety Code section 11153 states, inter alia:
- "(a) A prescription for a controlled substance shall only be issued for a legitimate medical purpose by an individual practitioner acting in the usual course of his or her professional practice.
- "(b) Any person who knowingly violates this section shall be punished by imprisonment in the state prison or in the county jail not exceeding one year, or by a fine not exceeding twenty thousand dollars (\$20,000), or by both fine and imprisonment."
  - 17. Health and Safety Code section 11171 states:

"No person shall prescribe, administer, or furnish a controlled substance except under the conditions and in the manner provided by this division."

18. Health and Safety Code section 11190 states, inter alia:

"(a) Every practitioner, other than a pharmacist, who prescribes or administers a controlled substance classified in Schedule II shall make a record that, as to the transaction, shows all of the following:

- (1) The name and address of the patient.
- (2) The date.
- (3) The character, including the name and strength, and quantity of controlled substances involved.
- "(b) The prescriber's record shall show the pathology and purpose for which the controlled substance was administered or prescribed."
  - 19. Health and Safety Code section 11191 states:

"The record shall be preserved for three years. Every person who violates any provision of this section is guilty of a misdemeanor."

20. Health and Safety Code section 11210 states, inter alia:

"The physician...shall prescribe, furnish or administer controlled substances only when in good faith he or she believes the disease, ailment, injury, or infirmity requires the treatment.

"The physician...shall prescribe, furnish, or administer controlled substances only in the quantity and for the length of time as are reasonably necessary."

- 21. Title 21, sections 807 through 971 of the United States Code ("U.S.C.") are known as the "Controlled Substances Act." Section 802 of that Act defines a "controlled substance" as "a drug or other substance, or immediate precursor, included in schedule I, II, III, IV or V of part B of this subchapter."<sup>2</sup>
  - 22. 21 U.S.C. section 822 states, inter alia:
- "(a) (2) Every person who dispenses, or who proposes to dispense, any controlled substance, shall obtain from the Attorney General a registration issued in accordance with the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Note: The current lists of controlled substances in the five the schedules are now published annually and are set forth in the Drug Enforcement Administration ("DEA") Regulations, Title 21, Code of Federal Regulations ("CFR"), sections 1308.11 through 1308.15.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

medical purpose by an individual practitioner acting in the usual course of his professional

"(a) A prescription for a controlled substance to be effective must be issued for a legitimate

21 CFR section 1306.04 states, inter alia:

practice.... An order purporting to be a prescription issued not in the usual course of professional treatment or in legitimate and authorized research is not a prescription within the meaning and intent of section 309 of the Act (21 U.S.C. section 829) and the person knowingly filling such a purported prescription, as well as the person issuing it, shall be subject to the penalties provided for violations of the provisions of law relating to controlled substances."

29. 21 CFR section 1306.12 states, inter alia:

"(a) The refilling of a prescription for a controlled substance listed in Schedule II is prohibited."

#### FIRST CAUSE TO REVOKE PROBATION

(Unprofessional Conduct: Violations of Law/Unlawful Prescribing/Dishonest Acts)

- 30. Respondent's probation is subject to revocation because he failed to comply with Condition No. 9, referenced above. The facts and circumstances regarding this violation are as follows:
- A. After twenty-four (24) years of practicing various types of medicine in California, including general surgery, plastic surgery and laser cosmetic surgery, Respondent, in the first quarter of 2009, accepted a salaried position at the Good Care Medical Clinic in Van Nuys, California (the "Van Nuys Clinic"), a "pain management" practice ostensibly owned by Melvin Lewis, M.D., a psychiatrist. Respondent was paid five thousand dollars (\$5,000.00) per month in cash with no taxes withheld.
- B. During the second quarter of 2009, Respondent also began working at another clinic ostensibly owned by Dr. Melvin Lewis, called the Reseda Medical and Diagnostic Center, and located in Reseda, California (the "Reseda Clinic"). Respondent continued to receive a salary of five thousand dollars (\$5,000.00) per month in cash with no taxes withheld. Respondent presently continues to practice at the Reseda Clinic and/or the Van Nuys Clinic.
- C. When Respondent, in mid-2008, was considering working at the Van Nuys Clinic and the Reseda Clinic, he knew that he would have to prescribe Schedule II narcotic drugs. At that time, his DEA registration (BA 8326642) did not authorize him to prescribe Schedule II narcotics. Nevertheless, on or about June 19, 2008, he authorized Anush Dartyan, the manager of

both the Van Nuys Clinic and the Reseda Clinic, to apply, in writing, to Superior Press, in Santa Fe Springs, California, for the printing of security prescription pads ("duplicate pads"), bearing Respondent's name, Board license number and DEA registration number, to be used in prescribing Schedule II narcotics. Respondent signed two applications, one requesting one thousand (1,000) duplicate pads, bearing the Van Nuys Clinic's address, and one requesting one thousand (1,000) duplicate pads, bearing the Reseda Clinic's address. The cost of the two thousand duplicate pads was charged to Anush Dartyan's personal Mastercard.

- D. At all times relevant, Respondent's DEA registration bore his former Beverly Hills business address, at which he was no longer practicing. As noted in paragraph (C), above, in mid-2008, respondent ordered duplicate prescription pads in his name, bearing the addresses of the Van Nuys Clinic and the Reseda Clinic, although he was not an owner of, employee of, or practitioner at either of those clinics. Moreover, Respondent instructed Superior Press to deliver said duplicate pads via overnight mail.
- E. At no time has Respondent ever obtained authority from the DEA to prescribe Schedule II narcotics.
- F. Respondent's DEA registration expired on June 30, 2009 and was never renewed by Respondent.
- G. Prior to June 2, 2009, Respondent's Practice Monitor, Martin C. Schulman, M.D., reviewed two months of sent-in chart notes regarding Respondent's patients at the Reseda Clinic. On June 2, 2009, Dr. Schulman performed a four-hour site visit at the Reseda Clinic, reviewing additional patient charts, assessing the premises, and interviewing Respondent regarding the practice organization, appointment procedures, handling of prescription drugs, record-keeping and file storage. At the conclusion of the site visit, Dr. Schulman reported to Respondent's Probation Monitor that Respondent's practice had "all the appearances of an 'OxyContin mill."
- H. On February 23, 2010, Dr. Schulman made another site visit to the Reseda Clinic, at which time he again interviewed Respondent and reviewed nine patient charts. Based upon his review of previously-sent-in chart notes from June, 2009 through November, 2009, the nine patient charts reviewed at the February 23, 2010 site visit, and his interview of Respondent, Dr.

Schulman reported, to Respondent's Probation Monitor, various irregularities in Respondent's practice, among them: Respondent continued to write prescriptions for Schedule II prescription narcotics into October, 2009 despite knowledge that his DEA registration did not authorize him to write such prescriptions.

- I. As set forth in the Second, Fifth, Eighth, Eleventh, Twelfth and Sixteenth Causes to Revoke Probation, Respondent continued to prescribe Schedule II narcotics into March, 2010.
- J. In formal interviews with Board investigators on April 28, 2010 and July 1, 2010, Respondent stated, falsely, that he had ceased prescribing Schedule II narcotics in October, 2009.
- K. As set forth herein, Respondent has engaged in the unlawful prescribing of Schedule II narcotics, in violation of the federal Controlled Substances Act, federal regulations and the California Uniform Controlled Substances Act, all in violation of the State Medical Practice Act (Business and Professions Code, Division 2, Chapter 5). Moreover, said conduct involves acts of dishonesty which are substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician and surgeon.
- 31. By virtue of the foregoing, Respondent has, during his probationary period, engaged in unprofessional conduct pursuant to Code sections 2234, subdivisions (a) and (e), and section 2238. As such, Respondent has violated Condition No. 9.

#### SECOND CAUSE TO REVOKE PROBATION

(Gross Negligence: No Records-Patient VC)

- 32. Respondent's probation is subject to revocation because he failed to comply with Condition No. 9, referenced above. The facts and circumstances regarding this violation are as follows:
- A. Respondent treated Patient VC, a 44-year-old female, between October 12, 2009 and March 31, 2010. On October 12, 2009, he prescribed 100 tablets of Hydrocodone, 7.5 mg, a Schedule II narcotic. On March 1, 2010, he again prescribed 100 tablets of Hydrocodone, 7.5 mg, this time with one refill. On March 26, 2010, he again prescribed 100 tablets of Hydrocodone, 7.5 mg, this time with two refills. On March 31, 2010, he prescribed 60 tablets of Diazepam, 10 mg, a Schedule IV controlled substance.

- B. Respondent has no records whatsoever of his treatment of Patient VC.
- 33. By virtue of the foregoing, Respondent has, during his probationary period, engaged in unprofessional conduct (gross negligence) pursuant to Code section 2234, subdivision (b), thereby violating Condition No. 9.

#### THIRD CAUSE TO REVOKE PROBATION

(Violation of Federal and State Laws: No Records- patient VC)

- 34. Respondent's probation is subject to revocation because he failed to comply with Condition No. 9, referenced above. The facts and circumstances regarding this violation are as follows:
- 35. Complainant incorporates the allegations set forth in paragraphs 32(A) and 32(B), above.
- 36. Respondent's conduct constitutes violations of the following laws: 21 U.S.C. section 822, 21 CFR section 1301.11, 21 CFR section 1306.03, 21 CFR section 1306.12, and Health & Safety Code sections 11152, 11171, 11190 and 11191.
- 37. By virtue of the foregoing, Respondent has, during his probationary period, engaged in conduct which constitutes unprofessional conduct (violation of federal and state laws) pursuant to Code section 2238, thereby violating Condition No. 9.

#### FOURTH CAUSE TO REVOKE PROBATION

(Failure to Maintain Adequate and Accurate Records: No Records- Patient VC)

- 38. Respondent's probation is subject to revocation because he failed to comply with Condition No. 9, referenced above. The facts and circumstances regarding this violation are as follows:
- A. Complainant incorporates herein the allegations set forth in paragraphs 32(A) and 32(B), above.
- 39. By virtue of the foregoing, Respondent has, during his probationary period, engaged in unprofessional conduct (failure to adequate and accurate records) pursuant to Code section 2266, thereby violating Condition No. 9.

#### FIFTH CAUSE TO REVOKE PROBATION

(Gross Negligence: No Records- Patient NM)

- 40. Respondent's probation is subject to revocation because he failed to comply with Condition No. 9, referenced above. The facts and circumstances regarding this violation are as follows:
- A. Respondent treated Patient NM, a 31-year-old female, between September 25, 2009 and March 5, 2010. On September 25, 2009, Respondent prescribed 200 tablets of Hydrocodone, 10 mg, a Schedule II narcotic, with two refills. On October 20, 2009, Respondent prescribed 200 tablets of Hydrocodone, 10 mg. On November 13, 2009, Respondent prescribed 200 tablets of Hydrocodone, 10 mg, with one refill. On December 30, 2009, Respondent prescribed 200 tablets of Hydrocodone, 10 mg, with two refills. On February 1, 2010, Respondent prescribed 200 tablets of Hydrocodone, 10 mg with three refills. On March 5, 2010, Respondent prescribed 150 tablets of Hydrocodone, 10 mg, with four refills.
  - B. Respondent has no records whatsoever regarding his treatment of Patient NM.
- 41. By virtue of the foregoing, Respondent has, during his probationary period, engaged in unprofessional conduct (gross negligence) pursuant to Code section 2234, subdivision (b), thereby violating Condition No. 9.

#### SIXTH CAUSE TO REVOKE PROBATION

(Violation of Federal and State Laws: No Records- patient NM)

- 42. Respondent's probation is subject to revocation because he failed to comply with Condition No. 9, referenced above. The facts and circumstances regarding this violation are as follows:
- 43. Complainant incorporates the allegations set forth in paragraphs 40(A) and 40(B), above.
- 44. Respondent's conduct constitutes violations of the following laws: 21 U.S.C. section 822, 21 CFR section 1301.11, 21 CFR section 1306.03, 21 CFR section 1306.12, and Health & Safety Code sections 11152, 11171, 11190 and 11191.

45. By virtue of the foregoing, Respondent has, during his probationary period, engaged in conduct which constitutes unprofessional conduct (violation of federal and state laws) pursuant to Code section 2238, thereby violating Condition No. 9.

#### SEVENTH CAUSE TO REVOKE PROBATION

(Failure to Maintain Adequate and Accurate Records: No Records- Patient NM)

- 46. Respondent's probation is subject to revocation because he failed to comply with Condition No. 9, referenced above. The facts and circumstances regarding this violation are as follows:
- A. Complainant incorporates herein the allegations set forth in paragraphs 40(A) and 40(B), above.
- 47. By virtue of the foregoing, Respondent has, during his probationary period, engaged in unprofessional conduct (failure to maintain adequate and accurate records) pursuant to Code section 2266, thereby violating Condition No. 9.

#### EIGHTH CAUSE TO REVOKE PROBATION

(Gross Negligence: No Records- Patient BH)

- 48. Respondent's probation is subject to revocation because he failed to comply with Condition No. 9, referenced above. The facts and circumstances regarding this violation are as follows:
- A. On July 3, 2009, Respondent treated Patient BH, a 25-year-old male, at the Van Nuys Clinic. Patient BH had traveled from Crescent City, California to Respondent's office in Van Nuys to obtain a prescription. Respondent prescribed 90 tablets of OxyContin, 80 mg, a Schedule II narcotic, and 30 tablets of Motrin, 400 mg. The prescriptions were filled by Patient BH at a pharmacy in Atascadero, California the same day.
  - B. Respondent has no records whatsoever regarding his treatment of Patient BH.
- 49. By virtue of the foregoing, Respondent has, during his probationary period, engaged in unprofessional conduct (gross negligence) pursuant to Code section 2234, subdivision (b), thereby violating Condition No. 9.

#### NINTH CAUSE TO REVOKE PROBATION

(Violation of Federal and State Laws: No Records- patient BH)

- 50. Respondent's probation is subject to revocation because he failed to comply with Condition No. 9, referenced above. The facts and circumstances regarding this violation are as follows:
- 51. Complainant incorporates the allegations set forth in paragraphs 48(A) and 48(B), above.
- 52. Respondent's conduct constitutes violations of the following laws: 21 U.S.C. section 822, 21 CFR section 1301.11, 21 CFR section 1306.03, 21 CFR section 1306.12, and Health & Safety Code sections 11152, 11171, 11190 and 11191.
- 53. By virtue of the foregoing, Respondent has, during his probationary period, engaged in conduct which constitutes unprofessional conduct (violation of federal and state laws) pursuant to Code section 2238, thereby violating Condition No. 9.

#### TENTH CAUSE TO REVOKE PROBATION

(Failure to Maintain Adequate and Accurate Records: No Records- Patient BH)

- 54. Respondent's probation is subject to revocation because he failed to comply with Condition No. 9, referenced above. The facts and circumstances regarding this violation are as follows:
- A. Complainant incorporates herein the allegations set forth in paragraphs 48(A) and 48(B), above.
- 55. By virtue of the foregoing, Respondent has, during his probationary period, engaged in unprofessional conduct (failure to maintain adequate and accurate records) pursuant to Code section 2266, thereby violating Condition No. 9.

#### ELEVENTH CAUSE TO REVOKE PROBATION

(Gross Negligence- Patient IF)

56. Respondent's probation is subject to revocation because he failed to comply with Condition No. 9, referenced above. The facts and circumstances regarding this violation are as follows:

A. Respondent treated Patient IF, a 51-year-old female, between August 18, 2009 and February 11, 2010. Patient IF reported that she had been taking OxyContin for the last two years, and brought a copy of a two-year-old prescription for OxyContin, 80 mg., quantity 90, from another physician. Respondent did not consult with the other physician, whom Patient IF identified, nor did he obtain any records from said physician or any prescription bottles for the two-year intervening period. Moreover, he failed to question Patient IF to determine whether other physicians were involved in her care, and failed to consult the CURES database to determine whether Patient IF was receiving prescriptions from other physicians. He had Patient IF sign a Consent for Chronic Opioid Therapy, and ordered an abdominal aortic ultrasound, abdominal ultrasound, renal ultrasound and thyroid ultrasound, all of which were performed on August 18, 2009. On August 18, 2009, Respondent prescribed 60 tablets of OxyContin, 80 mg., a Schedule II narcotic, for back pain and hip pain.

- B. Respondent failed to determine whether Patient IF was being treated elsewhere, and failed to determine whether she was receiving prescriptions from other physicians.
- 57. By virtue of the foregoing, Respondent has, during his probationary period, engaged in unprofessional conduct (gross negligence) pursuant to Code section 2234, subdivision (b), thereby violating Condition No. 9.

#### TWELFTH CAUSE TO REVOKE PROBATION

(Gross Negligence- Patient IF)

- 58. Respondent's probation is subject to revocation because he failed to comply with Condition No. 9, referenced above. The facts and circumstances regarding this violation are as follows:
  - A. Complainant incorporates herein the allegations set forth in paragraph 56(A), above.
- B. Respondent has no records of any of his post-August 18, 2009 treatment with Patient IF. However, a CURES Report dated April 22, 2010, shows that Respondent prescribed 45 tablets of Acetaminophen/Codeine, 300 mg./30 mg., to Patient IF on three occasions between December 21, 2009 and February 11, 2010.

59. By virtue of the foregoing, Respondent has, during his probationary period, engaged in unprofessional conduct (gross negligence) pursuant to Code section 2234, subdivision (b), thereby violating Condition No. 9.

#### THIRTEENTH CAUSE TO REVOKE PROBATION

(Violation of Federal and State Laws: Inadequate Records- Patient IF)

- 60. Respondent's probation is subject to revocation because he failed to comply with Condition No. 9, referenced above. The facts and circumstances regarding this violation are as follows:
- 61. Complainant incorporates the allegations set forth in paragraphs 56(A) and 58(B), above.
- 62. Respondent's conduct constitutes violations of the following laws: 21 U.S.C. section 822, 21 CFR section 1301.11, 21 CFR section 1306.03, 21 CFR section 1306.12, and Health & Safety Code sections 11152, 11171, 11190 and 11191.
- 63. By virtue of the foregoing, Respondent has, during his probationary period, engaged in conduct which constitutes unprofessional conduct (violation of federal and state laws) pursuant to Code section 2238, thereby violating Condition No. 9.

#### FOURTEENTH CAUSE TO REVOKE PROBATION

(Failure to Maintain Adequate and Accurate Records- Patient IF)

- 64. Respondent's probation is subject to revocation because he failed to comply with Condition No. 9, referenced above. The facts and circumstances regarding this violation are as follows:
- A. Complainant incorporates herein the allegations set forth in paragraphs 56(A) and 58(B), above.
- 65. By virtue of the foregoing, Respondent has, during his probationary period, engaged in unprofessional conduct (failure to maintain adequate and accurate records) pursuant to Code section 2266, thereby violating Condition No. 9.

#### FIFTEENTH CAUSE TO REVOKE PROBATION

(Repeated Negligent Acts- Patient IF)

- 66. Respondent's probation is subject to revocation because he failed to comply with Condition No. 9, referenced above. The facts and circumstances regarding this violation are as follows:
- A. Complainant incorporates herein the allegations set forth in paragraph 56(A) and 58(B), above.
- B. On August 18, 2009, Respondent failed to obtain an adequate history from Patient IF prior to prescribing OxyContin to Patient IF.
- C. On August 18, 2009, Respondent failed to perform an adequate physical examination of Patient IF prior to prescribing OxyContin to Patient IF.
- D. On August 18, 2009, Respondent failed to document, in Patient IF's chart, that he obtained an adequate history or performed an adequate physical examination prior to prescribing OxyContin to Patient IF.
- E. On August 18, 2009, Respondent failed to determine whether Patient IF was being treated elsewhere, and failed to determine whether she was receiving prescriptions from other physicians.
- F. On August 18, 2009, Respondent ordered medical testing, in the form of the ultrasound tests identified above, without documenting the medical necessity for said tests.
- G. Respondent failed to maintain any records whatsoever regarding his post-August 18, 2009 treatment of Patient IF, or of the prescriptions he issued to patient IF between December 21, 2009 and February 11, 2010.
- 67. By virtue of the foregoing, Respondent has, during his probationary period, engaged in unprofessional conduct (repeated negligent acts) pursuant to Code section 2234, subdivision (c), thereby violating Condition No. 9.

#### SIXTEENTH CAUSE TO REVOKE PROBATION

(Gross Negligence- Patient PW)

- 68. Respondent's probation is subject to revocation because he failed to comply with Condition No. 9, referenced above. The facts and circumstances regarding this violation are as follows:
- A. Respondent treated Patient PW, a 55-year-old female, between January 7, 2009 and March 9, 2010. Patient PW reported that she had been taking OxyContin since 2006.

  Respondent did not consult with any other of Patient PW's physicians, nor did he obtain any records for said other physicians or any prescription bottles for the three-year intervening period. Moreover, he failed to question Patient PW to determine whether other physicians were involved in her care, and failed to consult the CURES database to determine if Patient PW was receiving prescriptions from other physicians. Respondent had Patient PW sign a Consent for Chronic Opioid Therapy and, during the course of the treatment, he ordered an abdominal aortic ultrasound, and abdominal ultrasound and echocardiograms, all of which were performed. On January 7, 2009, Respondent prescribed 90 tablets of OxyContin, 80 mg, a Schedule II narcotic. On February 10, 2009, Respondent prescribed 90 tablets of OxyContin, 80 mg. On August 6, 2009, Respondent prescribed 90 tablets of OxyContin, 80 mg. On August 6, 2009, Respondent prescribed 90 tablets of OxyContin, 80 mg. On September 8, 2009, Respondent prescribed 90 tablets of OxyContin, 80 mg.
- B. According to a CURES Report dated April 22, 2010, Respondent also prescribed Hydrocodone, a Schedule II narcotic, to Patient PW as follows: On October 23, 2009, Respondent prescribed 90 tablets of Hydrocodone, 10 mg. On February 1, 2010, Respondent prescribed 60 tablets of Hydrocodone, 10 mg. On February 8, 2010, Respondent prescribed 10 tablets of Hydrocodone, 10 mg, with one refill. On February 18, 2010, Respondent prescribed 90 tablets of Hydrocodone, 10 mg. On March 5, 2010, Respondent prescribed 60 tablets of Hydrocodone, 10 mg, with two refills.
- C. According to a CURES Report dated April 22, 2010, Respondent also prescribed 60 tablets of Acetaminophen/Codeine, 300 mg/60 mg on November 16, 2009.

- D. Respondent failed to determine whether Patient PW was being treated elsewhere, and failed to determine whether she was receiving prescriptions from other physicians.
- 69. By virtue of the foregoing, Respondent has, during his probationary period, engaged in unprofessional conduct (gross negligence) pursuant to Code section 2234, subdivision (b), thereby violating Condition No. 9.

#### SEVENTEENTH CAUSE TO REVOKE PROBATION

(Gross Negligence- Patient PW)

- 70. Respondent's probation is subject to revocation because he failed to comply with Condition No. 9, referenced above. The facts and circumstances regarding this violation are as follows:
- A. Complainant incorporates herein the allegations set forth in paragraphs 68(A), 68(B) and 68(C), above.
- B. Respondent's thirty-eight (38) pages of certified records, regarding his treatment of Patient PW, contain no reference to the six prescriptions issued to patient PW between October 23, 2009 and March 5, 2010, nor do they reflect any office visits or other treatment on the dates said prescriptions were issued.
- 71. By virtue of the foregoing, Respondent has, during his probationary period, engaged in unprofessional conduct (gross negligence) pursuant to Code section 2234, subdivision (b), thereby violating Condition No. 9.

#### EIGHTEENTH CAUSE TO REVOKE PROBATION

(Violation of Federal and State Laws: Inadequate Records- Patient PW)

- 72. Respondent's probation is subject to revocation because he failed to comply with Condition No. 9, referenced above. The facts and circumstances regarding this violation are as follows:
- 73. Complainant incorporates the allegations set forth in paragraphs 68(A), 68(B), 68(C) and 70(B), above.

27

26

79. By virtue of the foregoing, Respondent has, during his probationary period, engaged in unprofessional conduct (gross negligence) pursuant to Code section 2234, subdivision (b), thereby violating Condition No. 9.

#### TWENTY-FIRST CAUSE TO REVOKE PROBATION

(Repeated Negligent Acts- Patient PW)

- 80. Respondent's probation is subject to revocation because he failed to comply with Condition No. 9, referenced above. The facts and circumstances regarding this violation are as follows:
- A. Complainant incorporates herein the allegations set forth in paragraphs 68(A), 68(B), 68(C) and 70(B), above.
- B. On January 7, 2009, Respondent failed to obtain an adequate history from Patient PW prior to prescribing OxyContin to Patient PW.
- C. On January 7, 2009, Respondent failed to perform an adequate physical examination of Patient PW prior to prescribing OxyContin to Patient PW.
- D. On January 7, 2009, Respondent failed to document, in Patient PW's chart, that he obtained an adequate history or performed an adequate physical examination prior to prescribing OxyContin to Patient PW.
- E. On January 7, 2009, Respondent failed to determine whether Patient PW was being treated elsewhere, and failed to determine whether she was receiving prescriptions from other physicians.
- F. During the course of treatment, Respondent ordered medical testing, in the form of the ultrasound tests and echocardiograms identified above, without documenting the medical necessity for said tests.
- G. Respondent failed to maintain any records whatsoever regarding the six prescriptions he issued to Patient PW on October 23, 2009, November 16, 2009, February 1, 2010, February 8, 2010, February 18, 2010 and March 5, 2010.

- H. During the course of his treatment of Patient PW, Respondent failed to make appropriate treatment changes, particularly given that PW's level of pain continued to remain the same, according to Respondent's chart entries.
- 81. By virtue of the foregoing, Respondent has, during his probationary period, engaged in unprofessional conduct (repeated negligent acts) pursuant to Code section 2234, subdivision (c), thereby violating Condition No. 9.

#### TWENTY-SECOND CAUSE TO REVOKE PROBATION

(Violation of Law: Incompetence)

- 82. Respondent's probation is subject to revocation because he failed to comply with Condition No. 9, referenced above. The facts and circumstances regarding this violation are as follows:
- A. Complainant incorporates herein the allegations set forth in paragraphs 30 through 81, above, in their entirety.
- 83. By virtue of the foregoing, Respondent has, during his probationary period, engaged in unprofessional conduct (incompetence) pursuant to Code section 2234, subdivision (d), thereby violating Condition No. 9.

#### TWENTY-THIRD CAUSE TO REVOKE PROBATION

(Violation of Law: Dishonesty/Corruption)

- 84. Respondent's probation is subject to revocation because he failed to comply with Condition No. 9, referenced above. The facts and circumstances regarding this violation are as follows:
- A. Complainant incorporates herein the allegations set forth in paragraphs 30 through 81, above, in their entirety.
- 85. By virtue of the foregoing, Respondent has, during his probationary period, engaged in unprofessional conduct (dishonesty or corruption substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a physician and surgeon) pursuant to Code section 2234, subdivision (e), thereby violating Condition No. 9.

#### TWENTY-FOURTH CAUSE TO REVOKE PROBATION (Cost Recovery) 2 Respondent's probation is subject to revocation because he failed to comply with 86. 3 Condition No. 16, referenced above. The facts and circumstances regarding this violation are as 4 follows: 5 Respondent has failed to timely pay all of his annual installments for reimbursement A. 6 of the Board's investigative and prosecution costs. Respondent is presently in arrears for same in 7 the amount of \$21,009.92. 8 By virtue of the foregoing, and pursuant to Condition No. 15, Respondent has 87. 9 violated the terms of his probation. 10 **PRAYER** 11 WHEREFORE, Petitioner requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and 12 that following the hearing, the Medical Board of California issue a decision: 13 Revoking the probation that was granted by the Medical Board of California in Case 1. 14 No. 18-1998-83221 and imposing the disciplinary order that was stayed thereby revoking 15 Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 41879 issued to Abasali K. Amir-Jahed, M.D.; and 16 Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper. 2. 17 18 19 Sure 9,2011 LINDA K. WHITNEY 20 Medical Board of California 21 Department of Consumer Affairs State of California 22 Petitioner 23 24 LA2011500248 50829784.doc 25 26 27

1

# BEFORE THE DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	) ) )	
A. K. Amir-Jahed M.D.	)	File No. 18-1998-83221
Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 41879	)	
Respondent	) 	

#### **DECISION**

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby adopted as the Decision and Order of the Division of Medical Quality of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs, State of California.

This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on <u>September 9</u>, 2002

IT IS SO ORDERED <u>August 8</u>, 2002.

MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA

Hazem H. Chehabi, M.D. Chair

Panel A

Division of Medical Quality

, I					
1	BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General				
2	of the State of California MARY AGNES MATYSZEWSKI, State Bar No. 137858				
3	Deputy Attorney General California Department of Justice				
4	110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100 San Diego, CA 92101				
5	P.O. Box 85266				
6	San Diego, CA 92186-5266 Telephone: (619) 645-3039 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061				
7	Attorneys for Complainant				
8	BEFORE T	HTE.			
9	DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA				
10					
11	STATE OF CITE				
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 18-1998-83221			
13	A. K. Amir-Jahed, M.D.	OAH No. L-1999-080349			
14	439 No. Doheny Dr., #207 Beverly Hills, CA 90210	STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND DISCIPLINARY ORDER			
15	Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 41879				
16	Respondent.				
17					
18					
19	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED ANI	AGREED by and between the parties to the			
20	above-entitled proceedings that the following matte	rs are true:			
21					
22	1	he Executive Director of the Medical Board of			
23	-				
24	by Bill Lockyer, Attorney General of the State of California, by Mary Agnes Matyszewski,				
25					
26	· 11				
27	proceeding by attorney John D. Harwell, whose address is 225 27th Street, Manhattan Beach,				
28	CA 90266.				

On or about July 9, 1985, the Medical Board of California issued 3. 1 Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate Number A 41879 to Respondent. The Physician's and 2 Surgeon's Certificate was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein 3 and will expire on March 31, 2003 unless renewed. 4 JURISDICTION 5 Accusation No. 18-1998-83221 was filed before the Division of Medical 4. 6 Quality, Medical Board of California of the Department of Consumer Affairs ("Division"), and is 7 currently pending against Respondent. The Accusation and all other statutorily required 8 documents were properly served on Respondent on April 4, 2001. Respondent timely filed his 9 Notice of Defense contesting the Accusation. A copy of Accusation No. 18-1998-83221 is 10 attached as exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference. 11 ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS 12 Respondent has carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and 5. 13 understands the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 18-1998-83221. Respondent has also 14 carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the effects of this Stipulated 15 Settlement and Disciplinary Order. 16 Respondent is fully aware of his legal rights in this matter, including the 6. 17 right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the Accusation; the right to be represented by 18 counsel at his own expense; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against him; the 19 right to present evidence and to testify on his own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to 20 compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration 21 and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California 22 Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws. 23 Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up 7. 24 each and every right set forth above. 25 /// 26

27

28

///

///

#### **CULPABILITY**

- 8. Respondent admits Complainant can establish a prima facie case in support of Accusation No. 18-1998-83221.
- 9. Respondent agrees that his license is subject to discipline and he agrees to be bound by the Division's imposition of discipline as set forth in the Disciplinary Order below.

#### **CONTINGENCY**

- 10. The parties understand and agree that facsimile or other copies of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, including the signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.
- 11. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the Division may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following Disciplinary Order:

#### **DISCIPLINARY ORDER**

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate Number A 41879 issued to Respondent is revoked. However, the revocation is stayed and Respondent is placed on probation for ten (10) years on the following terms and conditions.

Within 15 days after the effective date of this decision the respondent shall provide the Division, or its designee, proof of service that respondent has served a true copy of this decision on the Chief of Staff or the Chief Executive Officer at every hospital where privileges or membership are extended to respondent or at any other facility where respondent engages in the practice of medicine and on the Chief Executive Officer at every insurance carrier where malpractice insurance coverage is extended to respondent.

1. <u>ACTUAL SUSPENSION</u> As part of probation, respondent is suspended from performing any surgeries until he has successfully completed the PACE Program as outlined in Paragraph 2 below.

///

///

28 ///

#### 2. PHYSICIAN ASSESSMENT AND CLINICAL EDUCATION

Within 90 days from the effective date of this decision, respondent, at his/her **PROGRAM** expense, shall enroll in The Physician Assessment and Clinical Education Program at the University of California, San Diego School of Medicine (hereinafter the "PACE Program"). The PACE Program consists of the Comprehensive Assessment Program which is comprised of two mandatory components: Phase 1 and Phase 2. Phase 1 is a two-day program which assesses physical and mental health; neuropsychological performance; basic clinical and communication skills common to all clinicians; and medical knowledge, skill and judgment pertaining to the specialty or sub-specialty of the respondent. After the results of Phase 1 are reviewed, respondent shall complete Phase 2. Phase 2 comprises five (5) days (40 hours) of Clinical Education in respondent's field of specialty. The specific curriculum of Phase 2 is designed by PACE Faculty and the Department or Division of respondent's specialty, and utilizes data obtained from Phase 1. After respondent has completed Phase 1 and Phase 2, the PACE Evaluation Committee will review all results and make a recommendation to the Division or its designee as to whether further education, clinical training (including scope and length), treatment of any medical and/or psychological condition and any other matters affecting respondent's practice of medicine will be required or recommended. The Division or its designee may at any time request information from PACE regarding the respondent's participation in PACE and/or information derived therefrom. The Division may order respondent to undergo additional education, medical and/or psychological treatment based upon the recommendations received from PACE.

Upon approval of the recommendation by the Division or its designee, respondent shall undertake and complete the recommended and approved PACE Program. At the completion of the PACE Program, respondent shall submit to an examination on its contents and substance. The examination shall be designed and administered by the PACE Program faculty. Respondent shall not be deemed to have successfully completed the program unless he/she passes the examination. Respondent agrees that the determination of the PACE Program faculty as to

///

7.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

26

27

1

2

1

5 6

8 9

7

10

11

12

13

14 15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24 25

26

27 28

whether or not he passed the examination and/or successfully completed the PACE Program shall be binding.

Respondent shall complete the PACE Program no later than six months after his initial enrollment unless the Division or its designee agrees in writing to a later time for completion.

If respondent successfully completes the PACE Program, including the examination referenced above, he agrees to cause the PACE Program representative to forward a Certification of Successful Completion of the program to the Division or its designee. If respondent fails to successfully complete the PACE Program within the time limits outlined above, he shall be suspended from the practice of medicine.

Failure to participate in, and successfully complete all phases of the PACE Program, as outlined above, shall constitute a violation of probation.

- ACTUAL SUSPENSION As part of probation, respondent is suspended 3. from medicine until he has successfully completed (1) the PACE Records Keeping Course, (2) an Ethics course, (3) has employed a neutral third party to handle and perform all of his billings and collections functions, and (4) has retained a physician to monitor his practice, all as outlined in Paragraphs 4, 5, 6 and 7 below.
- PACE RECORDS KEEPING COURSE Within 90 days from the effective 4. date of this decision, respondent, at his expense, shall enroll in the PACE Records Keeping Course. At the completion of the PACE Records Keeping Course, respondent shall submit to examination on its contents and substance. The examination shall be designed and administered by the PACE Program faculty. Respondent shall not be deemed to have successfully completed the program unless he passes the examination. Respondent agrees that the determination of the PACE Program faculty as to whether or not he passed the examination and/or successfully completed the PACE Program shall be binding.

Respondent shall complete the PACE Records Keeping Course no later than six months after his initial enrollment unless the Division or its designee agrees in writing to a later time for completion. If respondent successfully completes the PACE Records Keeping course,

28 | ///

including the examination referenced above, he agrees to cause the PACE Program representative to forward a Certification of Successful Completion of the program to the Division or its designee. If respondent fails to successfully complete the PACE Program within the time limits outlined above, he shall be suspended from the practice of medicine.

Failure to participate in, and successfully complete all phases of the PACE Records keeping Course, as outlined above, shall constitute a violation of probation.

- 5. ETHICS COURSE Within 90 days from the effective date of this Order, respondent shall submit to the Division or its designee proof of successfully completing a preapproved Ethics course. The completion of this course shall be in addition to the Continuing Medical Education requirements for re-licensure. Following the completion of this course, the Division or its designee may administer an examination to test respondent's knowledge of the course.
- 6. <u>BILLING MONITORING</u> Within thirty (30) days of the effective date of this decision, respondent shall submit to the Division or its designee for its prior approval a plan of practice in which respondent's practice shall be monitored by a neutral, third party billing entity which shall handle all aspects of respondent's billings and collections for treatment of his patients. This entity may be required to provide periodic reports to the Division or its designee.

If the billing monitor resigns or is no longer available, respondent shall, within fifteen (15) days, move to have a new billing monitor appointed, through nomination by respondent and approval by the Division or its designee.

7. MONITORING Within thirty (30) days of the effective date of this decision, respondent shall submit to the Division or its designee for its prior approval a plan of practice in which respondent's practice shall be monitored by another physician in respondent's field of practice, who shall provide periodic reports to the Division or its designee.

If the monitor resigns or is no longer available, respondent shall, within fifteen (15) days, move to have a new monitor appointed, through nomination by respondent and approval by the Division or its designee.

- 8 <u>SUPERVISION OF PHYSICIAN ASSISTANTS</u> During probation, respondent is prohibited from supervising physician assistants.
- 9. OBEY ALL LAWS Respondent shall obey all federal, state and local laws, all rules governing the practice of medicine in California, and remain in full compliance with any court ordered criminal probation, payments and other orders.
- 10. QUARTERLY REPORTS Respondent shall submit quarterly declarations under penalty of perjury on forms provided by the Division, stating whether there has been compliance with all the conditions of probation.
- Respondent shall comply with the Division's probation surveillance program. Respondent shall, at all times, keep the Division informed of his business and residence addresses which shall both serve as addresses of record. Changes of such addresses shall be immediately communicated in writing to the Division. Under no circumstances shall a post office box serve as an address of record, except as allowed by Business and Professions Code section 2021(b).

Respondent shall, at all times, maintain a current and renewed physician's and surgeon's license.

Respondent shall also immediately inform the Division, in writing, of any travel to any areas outside the jurisdiction of California which lasts, or is contemplated to last, more than thirty (30) days.

- 12. <u>INTERVIEW WITH THE DIVISION, ITS DESIGNEE OR ITS</u>

  <u>DESIGNATED PHYSICIAN(S)</u> Respondent shall appear in person for interviews with the Division, its designee or its designated physician(s) upon request at various intervals and with reasonable notice.
- 13. TOLLING FOR OUT-OF-STATE PRACTICE, RESIDENCE OR INSTATE NON-PRACTICE In the event respondent should leave California to reside or to
  practice outside the State or for any reason should respondent stop practicing medicine in
  California, respondent shall notify the Division or its designee in writing within ten (10) days of
  the dates of departure and return or the dates of non-practice within California. Non-practice is

- 14. <u>COMPLETION OF PROBATION</u> Upon successful completion of probation, respondent's certificate shall be fully restored.
- respect, the Division, after giving respondent notice and the opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation and carry out the disciplinary order that was stayed. If an accusation or petition to revoke probation is filed against respondent during probation, the Division shall have continuing jurisdiction until the matter is final, and the period of probation shall be extended until the matter is final.
- Division the amount of \$56,711.10, of which \$1,752.90 is directly due and payable to the Attorney General's Office for its copying costs, within ninety (90) days of the effective date of this decision for its investigative and prosecution costs. The remaining costs may be paid in equal annual installments over the course of respondent's probation. Failure to reimburse the Division's cost of investigation and prosecution shall constitute a violation of the probation order, unless the Division agrees in writing to payment by an installment plan because of financial hardship. The filing of bankruptcy by the respondent shall not relieve the respondent of his responsibility to reimburse the Division for its investigative and prosecution costs.

///

///

.0 ||

27 | ///

28 ///

18. <u>LICENSE SURRENDER</u> Following the effective date of this decision, if respondent ceases practicing due to retirement, health reasons or is otherwise unable to satisfy the terms and conditions of probation, respondent may voluntarily tender his certificate to the Board. The Division reserves the right to evaluate the respondent's request and to exercise its discretion whether to grant the request, or to take any other action deemed appropriate and reasonable under the circumstances. Upon formal acceptance of the tendered license, respondent will not longer be subject to the terms and conditions of probation.

///

15 | ///

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16 | ///

17 ///

18 ///

19 ///

20 ///

21 ///

22 ///

- 11

23 | ///

24 | ///

25 | ///

26 | ///

27 ///

28 | ///

MAR. 26. 2082 UE 51.48PM

1

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12 13

14

19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27

28

D HARWELL (310)545-6175

NO.477 P.3/3

JOHN D HARKELL (316 545-6175 08/20/02 4:30PN) Mar. 04 2002 11:35AM P2

ACCEPTANCE

I have varefully read the above Supulated Semisment and Disciplinary Order and have fully discussed it with my statemy, John D. Harwell. I understand the stipulation and the effect it will have on my Physician's and Surgeon's Cortificate. I enter into this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the Division

DATED: 3/29/02

form and control

I have read and fully discussed with Respondent the terms and conditions and other matters contained in the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order. I approve its

DATED: 3/29/02

ENDORSEMENT

The foregoing Stippleted Settlement and Dissiplinary Order is hereby respectfully submitted for consideration by the Division.

4-2-02 DATED:

BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General of the State of California

ARY ATRIS MATYREWS Deputy Attorney General

Attorneys for Complainme

Exhibit A Accusation No. 18-1998-83221

**EXHIBIT A** 

- 11		
1	BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General of the State of California	-
2	omeven u Zeigen	
3	Deputy Attorney General, State Bar No.60225 Department of Justice	
4	110 West A Street, Suite 1100 FILED  Post Office Box 85266 STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
5	San Diego, California 92186-5266  MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA	
6	Attorneys for Complainant SACRAMENTO May 27 19 99  Attorneys for Complainant BY Wasterney ANALYST	
7		
8	BEFORE THE	
9	DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA	
10	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
11	In the Matter of the Accusation ) NO. 18-1998-83221	
12	Against:	
13	A.K. AMIR-JAHED, M.D. ) ACCUSATION (A39 No. Doheny Dr., #207 )	
14	Beverly Hills, CA 90210 )	Ì
15	Physician's and Surgeon's ) Certificate No. A 41897	
16	Respondent. )	
17		
18	Complainant Ron Joseph, as cause for disciplinary	
19	action, alleges as follows:	
20	<u>PARTIES</u>	
21	1. Complainant Ron Joseph is the Executive Director of	
22	the Medical Board of California ("Board") and makes and files	
23	this Accusation solely in his official capacity.	
24	<u>LICENSE STATUS</u>	
25	2. On or about July 9, 1985, Physician's and Surgeon's	į.
26	Certificate No. A 41897 was issued by the Board to A.K.	
27	Amir-Jahed, M.D. ("respondent"), and at all times relevant	

herein, said Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate was, and currently is, in full force and effect. Unless it has been renewed, respondent's license expired on March 31, 1999.

## JURISDICTION

- 3. This Accusation is made in reference to the following statutes of the California Business and Professions Code ("Code"):
  - A. Section 2227 of the Code provides that a licensee who is found guilty under the Medical Practice Act may have his license revoked, suspended for a period not to exceed one year, placed on probation and required to pay the costs of probation monitoring, or such other action taken in relation to discipline as the Division deems proper.
  - B. Section 2234 of the Code provides that unprofessional conduct includes, but is not limited to, the following:

"....

- "(b) Gross negligence.
- "(c) Repeated negligent acts.
- "(d) Incompetence.
- "(e) The commission of any act involving dishonesty or corruption which is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician and surgeon.

C. Section 810(a) of the Code provides, in part that it shall constitute unprofessional conduct and grounds

for disciplinary action, including suspension or revocation of a license or certificate, for a health care professional to do any of the following in connection with his professional activities:

- (1) Knowingly present or cause to be presented any false or fraudulent claim for the payment of a loss under a contract of insurance.
- (2) Knowingly prepare, make, or subscribe any writing, with intent to present or use the same, or to allow it to be presented or used in support of any such claim.
- D. Section 2261 of the Code provides that knowingly making or signing any certificate or other document directly or indirectly related to the practice of medicine which falsely represents the existence or nonexistence of a state of facts, constitutes unprofessional conduct.
- E. Section 2262 of the Code provides that altering or modifying the medical record of any person, with fraudulent intent, or creating any false medical record, with fraudulent intent, constitutes unprofessional conduct.

In addition to any other disciplinary action, the Division of Medical Quality may impose a civil penalty of five hundred dollars (\$500) for a violation of this section.

F. Section 2273 of the Code provides that the employment of runners, cappers, steerers, or other persons to procure patients constitutes unprofessional conduct.

- G. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct any licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act, to pay to the Board a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.
- 4. Section 14124.12(a) of the Welfare and Institutions Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Department of Health Services may not reimburse any Medi-Cal claim for the type of surgical service or invasive procedure that gave rise to the probation, that was performed by the licensee on or after the effective date of probation and until the termination of all probationary terms and conditions or until the probationary period has ended, whichever occurs first.

# FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Gross Negligence)

5. Respondent A.K. Amir-Jahed, M.D., is subject to disciplinary action on account of the following:

#### Patient V.N.

- A. On or about June 15, 1996, V.N. (of San Jose, California) went to respondent to have a tummy tuck.
- B. Respondent examined V.N., and created records which reflected that she complained of a lump in her lower belly that "comes and goes and hurts." He diagnosed a ventral hernia.
- C. In fact, V.N. had experienced no problems with a hernia nor any pain or discomfort in her lower

- D. Respondent created records which reflected that he performed a ventral hernia repair on June 17, 1996. No samples were sent to pathology. Respondent billed V. N.'s insurer \$5,136 for performing this procedure. Neither the surgical report of the hernia repair nor the bill to the insurer reflect the abdominoplasty surgery which respondent performed on June 17, 1996.
- E. Respondent also performed an abdominoplasty on June 17, 1996. V.N. paid respondent \$1,500 for this procedure.
- F. In late 1997, V.N. contacted a woman named H.-T. regarding additional cosmetic surgery to be performed by respondent. V.N. had learned of H.-T. as a connection to respondent, who had performed cosmetic surgeries on some acquaintances of V.N. H.-T. recommended respondent for the breast augmentation surgery in which V.N. expressed interest.
- G. H.-T. told V.N. that respondent would pay for V.N.'s flight to southern California and for hotel accommodations, that the surgery would only cost V.N. the price of the implants themselves, and that V.N.'s insurance would pay for the surgery.
- H. When V.N. arrived in southern California, she was picked up at the airport by a woman (not H.-T.) and

- I. On January 11, 1997, H.-T. came to V.N.'s room and took her to respondent's office. This date was the first time V.N. saw respondent. V.N. did not tell respondent that she had found any lumps in her breasts nor that she was experiencing any problems. No photographs were taken either before or after the surgery.
- J. Respondent told V.N. that he would perform biopsies while he was performing the breast augmentation procedure to make sure that everything was normal.

  Respondent said that performing the biopsies was routine.

  V.N. signed a consent for the biopsies.
- K. On or about January 11, 1997, respondent performed a bilateral breast augmentation procedure, as well as bilateral biopsies, on V.N. Respondent never provided V. N. with the biopsy results.
- L. About three days after the surgery, V.N. noticed that her nipples were very small and that the right nipple had disappeared; she saw tissue on her bandages when she changed them.
- M. V.N. had been instructed to call H.-T.'s pager, not respondent, if she had any questions or problems, and paged H.-T. immediately. V.N. asked to speak with respondent. H.-T. told V.N. that was not possible, not

- N. H.-T. called V.N. back, saying that she had spoken with respondent. H.-T. said that the right nipple, which had fallen off after respondent's surgery, could be restored with a small procedure.
- O. In the months that followed, V.N. found lumps and bubbles in her breasts as well. She spoke with H.-T. several times attempting to set up another surgery, but V.N. could not get another appointment. H.-T. stopped returning V.N.'s calls in around summer 1997. V.N. went to another physician, Dr. D.
- p. After V.N. filed a lawsuit against respondent, H.-T. contacted V.N. to say that respondent was willing to fix the problem. H.-T. told V.N. that Ha T. was paid by respondent for finding patients and arranging surgeries.

## Patient M.N.

1.4

- Q. M.N., a resident of Houston, Texas, had bilateral breast biopsies and augmentation mammoplasty performed by respondent on July 26, 1996.
- R. Before the procedure, M.N. paid respondent \$1,560 for "cosmetic" (presumably for the breast implants), and signed an information sheet for patients considering saline-filled implants.
- S. Respondent took a history and performed a physical examination. There is no mention of breast

 implants as a part of the procedure to be performed.

Rather, respondent lists a pre-op diagnosis of fibrocystic disease of breasts and the procedure to be performed as excisional biopsies of breasts and excision of a cyst in the right breast.

- T. A mammogram was performed on July 25, 1996, and read by radiologist Dr. P. Dr. P. reported there were no malignancies, and the breasts were "dense."
- U. Respondent executed a "Physician Attestation Statement" under penalty of perjury which stated that the primary diagnosis was "fibrocystic disease, breasts."
- V. Respondent prepared a surgery report of the procedure he performed on July 26, 1996, which omitted mention of any breast augmentations.
- 6. Respondent A.K. Amir-Jahed, M.D., is subject to disciplinary action for unprofessional conduct in that he was grossly negligent in his care and treatment of V.N. and M.N., in violation of Code section 2234(b), in that:
  - A. Paragraph 5 above is hereby realleged and incorporated by this reference as if fully set forth at this point.

#### Patient V.N.

B. On January 11, 1997, respondent performed a bilateral breast biopsy on V.N. without a medical indication for the express purpose of falsely billing V.N.'s insurer for the cosmetic breast augmentation procedure which was the true reason for surgery.

- C. Respondent falsified V.N.'s medical records to reflect that there were lumps and nodes in her breasts as well as a brownish discharge from her right nipple when, in truth and in fact, V.N. had no such symptoms.
- D. In June 1996, respondent performed a hernia surgery on V.N. without medical indication for the express purpose of falsely billing V.N.'s insurer for the abdominoplasty which was the true purpose of the surgery.
- E. Respondent created false and misleading medical records to show that the hernia repair surgery was medically necessary and concealed the fact that he had performed an abdominoplasty done solely for cosmetic purposes.

## Patient M.N.

- F. On July 26, 1996, respondent performed bilateral excisional biopsy surgery on M.N. without medical indication, and for the express purpose of falsely billing M.N.'s insurer for the cosmetic surgery breast augmentation procedure which was the true reason for the surgery.
- G. Respondent created false and misleading medical records which reflected that based on medical indication, he performed bilateral excisional biopsy surgery on July 26, 1996, when in fact no medical indication for the surgery existed at that time.
- H. Respondent concealed the true purpose of the July 26, 1996, surgery from M.N.'s medical insurer to bolster the likelihood that he would be paid for the

medically unnecessary biopsy surgery which he performed on that date.

## SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Repeated Negligent Acts)

- 7. Respondent A.K. Amir-Jahed, M.D., is subject to disciplinary action for unprofessional conduct in that he committed repeated negligent acts in his care and treatment of V. N. and M.N., in violation of Code section 2234(c), in that:
  - A. Paragraph 5 above is hereby realleged and incorporated by this reference as if fully set forth at this point.

## Patient V.N.

- B. On January 11, 1997, respondent performed a bilateral breast biopsy on V.N. without a medical indication for the express purpose of falsely billing V.N.'s insurer for the cosmetic breast augmentation procedure which was the true reason for surgery.
- C. Respondent falsified V.N.'s medical records to reflect that there were lumps and nodes in her breasts as well as a brownish discharge from her right nipple when, in truth and in fact, V.N. had no such symptoms.
- D. In June 1996, respondent performed a hernia surgery on V.N. without medical indication for the express purpose of falsely billing V.N.'s insurer for the abdominoplasty which was the true purpose of the surgery.
- E. Respondent created false and misleading medical records to show that the hernia repair surgery was

medically necessary and concealed the fact that he had performed an abdominoplasty done solely for cosmetic purposes.

- F. Respondent's records falsely show that V.N. decided to have the breast augmentation surgery on the day of her biopsy surgery. If this were true, respondent's agreeing to a patient's wish to have a cosmetic surgical procedure "on the spur of the moment" is improper.
- G. Respondent performed the nipple surgery by improperly making incisions all around the nipple areola complex so as to cut off the blood supply, thereby contributing to the loss of V.N's right nipple.
- H. Respondent failed to take a frozen section of breast tissue and analyze the pathology findings prior to performing the augmentation surgery.

#### Patient M.N.

- I. Respondent created false and misleading medical records which reflected that based on medical indication, he performed bilateral excisional biopsy surgery on July 26, 1996, when in fact no medical indication for the surgery existed at that time.
- J. Respondent concealed the true purpose of the July 26, 1996, surgery from M.N.'s medical insurer to bolster the likelihood that he would be paid for the medically unnecessary biopsy surgery which he performed on that date.

27 | ///

# THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Incompetence)

- 8. Respondent A.K. Amir-Jahed, M.D., is subject to disciplinary action for unprofessional conduct in that he was incompetent in his care and treatment of V.N., in violation of Code section 2234(d), in that:
  - A. Paragraph 5 above is hereby realleged and incorporated by this reference as if fully set forth at this point.
  - B. Respondent performed the nipple surgery by improperly making incisions all around the nipple areola complex so as to cut off the blood supply, thereby contributing to the loss of V.N's right nipple.

# FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Dishonest and Corrupt Acts)

- 9. Respondent A.K. Amir-Jahed, M.D., is subject to disciplinary action for unprofessional conduct in that he committed dishonest and corrupt acts in his care and treatment of V.N. and M.N., in violation of Code section 2234(e), in that:
  - A. Paragraph 5 above is hereby realleged and incorporated by this reference as if fully set forth at this point.
  - B. On January 11, 1997, respondent performed a bilateral breast biopsy on V.N. without a medical indication for the express purpose of falsely billing V.N.'s insurer for the cosmetic breast augmentation procedure which was the true reason for surgery.

2 /

- C. Respondent falsified V.N.'s medical records to reflect that there were lumps and nodes in her breasts as well as a brownish discharge from her right nipple when, in truth and in fact, V.N. had no such symptoms.
- D. In June 1996, respondent performed a hernia surgery on V.N. without medical indication for the express purpose of falsely billing V.N.'s insurer for the abdominoplasty which was the true purpose of the surgery.
- E. Respondent created false and misleading medical records to show that the hernia repair surgery was medically necessary and concealed the fact that he had performed an abdominoplasty done solely for cosmetic purposes.

## Patient M.N.

- F. On July 26, 1996, respondent performed bilateral excisional biopsy surgery on M.N., without medical indication, and for the express purpose of falsely billing M.N.'s insurer for the cosmetic surgery breast augmentation procedure which was the true reason for the surgery.
- G. Respondent created false and misleading medical records which reflected that based on medical indication, he performed bilateral excisional biopsy surgery on July 26, 1996, when in fact no medical indication for the surgery existed at that time.
- H. Respondent concealed the true purpose of the July 26, 1996, surgery from M.N.'s medical insurer to bolster the likelihood that he would be paid for the

medically unnecessary biopsy surgery which he performed on that date.

## FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(False Statements)

- 10. Respondent A.K. Amir-Jahed, M.D., is subject to disciplinary action for unprofessional conduct in that he knowingly made or signed a certificate or other document directly or indirectly related to the practice of medicine which falsely represented the existence or nonexistence of a state of facts in his care and treatment of V.N. and M.N., in violation of Code section 2261, in that:
  - A. Paragraph 5 above is hereby realleged and incorporated by this reference as if fully set forth at this point:

#### Patient V.N.

- B. Respondent performed a bilateral breast biopsy without medical indication, unnecessarily, and to allow him to improperly bill V.N.'s insurer for the cosmetic breast augmentation procedure which was the true reason for surgery.
- C. Respondent falsified V.N.'s medical records to reflect that there were lumps and nodes in her breasts as well as a brownish discharge from her right nipple, when in fact V. N. had no such symptoms, and respondent did not report them to her when he examined her before the surgery.
- D. Respondent performed the June, 1996, hernia surgery without medical indication, unnecessarily, and

solely for the purpose of falsely billing V.N.'s insurer for the abdominoplasty which was the true purpose of the surgery.

E. Respondent created false and misleading medical records to show that the hernia repair surgery was medically necessary, and concealed the fact that he had performed an abdominoplasty done solely for cosmetic purposes.

#### Patient M.N.

- F. Respondent performed bilateral excisional biopsy surgery on M.N., on July 26, 1996, without medical indication, unnecessarily, and for the purpose of concealing from her insurer the true cosmetic purpose of the surgery on that date.
- G. Respondent created false and misleading medical records which reflected that based on medical indication, he performed bilateral excisional biopsy surgery on July 26, 1996, when in fact no medical indication for the surgery existed at that time.
- H. By creating separate records for the biopsy and augmentation, respondent concealed the true purpose of the July 26, 1996, surgery from M.N.'s medical insurer to bolster the likelihood that he would be paid for the medically unnecessary biopsy surgery which he performed on that date.

26 ///

27 | ///

## SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(False Medical Records)

- 11. Respondent A.K. Amir-Jahed, M.D., is subject to disciplinary action for unprofessional conduct in that he altered or modified the medical record of any person, with fraudulent intent, or created a false medical record, with fraudulent intent, in his care and treatment of V.N. and M.N., in violation of Code section 2262, in that:
  - A. Paragraph 5 above is hereby realleged and incorporated by this reference as if fully set forth at this point.

#### Patient V.N.

- B. On January 11, 1997, respondent performed a bilateral breast biopsy on V.N. without a medical indication for the express purpose of falsely billing V.N.'s insurer for the cosmetic breast augmentation procedure which was the true reason for surgery.
- C. Respondent falsified V.N.'s medical records to reflect that there were lumps and nodes in her breasts as well as a brownish discharge from her right nipple when, in truth and in fact, V.N. had no such symptoms.
- D. In June 1996, respondent performed a hernia surgery on V.N. without medical indication for the express purpose of falsely billing V.N.'s insurer for the abdominoplasty which was the true purpose of the surgery.
- E. Respondent created false and misleading medical records to show that the hernia repair surgery was

\_\_\_

medically necessary and concealed the fact that he had performed an abdominoplasty done solely for cosmetic purposes.

## Patient M.N.

- F. On July 26, 1996, respondent performed bilateral excisional biopsy surgery on M.N. without medical indication, and for the express purpose of falsely billing M.N.'s insurer for the cosmetic surgery breast augmentation procedure which was the true reason for the surgery.
- G. Respondent created false and misleading medical records which reflected that based on medical indication, he performed bilateral excisional biopsy surgery on July 26, 1996, when in fact no medical indication for the surgery existed at that time.
- H. Respondent concealed the true purpose of the July 26, 1996, surgery from M.N.'s medical insurer to bolster the likelihood that he would be paid for the medically unnecessary biopsy surgery which he performed on that date.

## **SEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

(Insurance Fraud)

12. Respondent A.K. Amir-Jahed, M.D., is subject to disciplinary action for unprofessional conduct in that he committed insurance fraud in his care and treatment of V.N. and M.N., in violation of Code section 810(a), in that:

///

1///

8

9

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Α. Paragraph 5 above is hereby realleged and incorporated by this reference as if fully set forth at this point.

#### Patient V.N.

- В. On January 11, 1997 respondent performed a bilateral breast biopsy on V.N. without a medical indication for the express purpose of falsely billing V.N.'s insurer for the cosmetic breast augmentation procedure which was the true reason for surgery.
- Respondent falsified V.N.'s medical records C. to reflect that there were lumps and nodes in her breasts as well as a brownish discharge from her right nipple when, in truth and in fact, V.N. had no such symptoms.
- D. In June 1996, respondent performed a hernia surgery on V.N. without medical indication for the express purpose of falsely billing V.N.'s insurer for the abdominoplasty which was the true purpose of the surgery.
- Ε. Respondent created false and misleading medical records to show that the hernia repair surgery was medically necessary and concealed the fact that he had performed an abdominoplasty done solely for cosmetic purposes.

#### Patient M.N.

On July 26, 1996, respondent performed bilateral excisional biopsy surgery on M.N. without medical indication, and for the express purpose of falsely billing M.N.'s insurer for the cosmetic surgery breast augmentation procedure which was the true reason for the surgery.

- G. Respondent created false and misleading medical records which reflected that based on medical indication, he performed bilateral excisional biopsy surgery on July 26, 1996, when in fact no medical indication for the surgery existed at that time.
- H. Respondent concealed the true purpose of the July 26, 1996, surgery from M.N.'s medical insurer to bolster the likelihood that he would be paid for the medically unnecessary biopsy surgery which he performed on that date.

## **EIGHTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

(Use of Cappers or Steerers)

- 13. Respondent A.K. Amir-Jahed, M.D., is subject to disciplinary action for unprofessional conduct in that he committed unprofessional by employing runners, cappers, steerers, or other persons to procure patients in violation of Code section 2273, in that:
  - A. Paragraph 5 above is hereby realleged and incorporated by this reference as if fully set forth at this point.
  - B. Respondent employed H.-T. who acted as a runner, capper, procurer on behalf of respondent in obtaining V.N., as a patient for respondent.

25 | ///

26 |.///

27 | ///

1	<u>PRAYER</u>
2	WHEREFORE, complainant requests that a hearing be held
3	on the matters alleged herein, and that following said hearing,
4	the Board issue a decision:
5	1. Revoking, suspending, or otherwise imposing
6	discipline upon Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. 41897
7	issued to respondent A.K. Amir-Jahed, M.D.;
8	2. Awarding the Board its costs of investigation and
9	prosecution as provided by statute and requiring respondent to
10	pay the costs of probation monitoring, if he is placed on
11	probation;
12	3. Imposing a civil penalty of \$500 for each
13	violation of Code section 2262 found by the Board in its
14	decision; and
15	4. Taking such other and further action as the Board
16	deems proper.
17	
18	DATED: May 27, 1999
19	
20	$\bigcap$ $\Lambda$
21	Ron Joseph
22	Executive Director  Medical Board of California
23	Complainant
	· · <u>·</u> · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

sva:nc i:\all\hqe\amirjahe.mbc 5/4/99
shz:pll c:\dat\medbd\Amirjahe.acc